Stage 2

# **Risk Potential Assessment**



# Introduction

This form helps determine whether a project, programme or policy should have formal Transformation Programme support. (You must complete this form if you completed a Stage 1 form and it indicated the project, programme or policy is potentially Medium or High Risk). Section E explains how to complete this form.

| Section A – Project, progran   | nme or policy details   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1. Is this a project, programme or policy?   | Project   |  |  |  |  |
| 2. Project, programme or policy name<br>(Note previous name if changed since last review)  | P033 Printer Migration  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Project, programme or policy type   | Business culture (see section   | E.5 for guidance on programme and project descriptors) |  |  |  |
| 4. The name of the Public Sector organisation responsible for the project, programme or policy's delivery.   | EFDC  |  |  |  |  |
| 5. Lead Directorate or Sponsor Directorate if the delivery organisation is a partner.  | Resources   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>6. Sponsor contact details for the project,<br/>programme or policy:</li> <li>Senior Policy Owner – for policy</li> <li>Senior Responsible Owner – project or<br/>programme</li> </ul>  | Name: Glen Chipp, Chief Execu<br>Tel no: 01992564758<br>Email: gchipp"eppingforestdc.go |  |  |  |  |
| 7. Project, programme or policy manager details  | Name: David Newton, Assistant Director – ICT and FM<br>Tel no: 01992 564580             |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>(If appointed)</li> <li>9. If a project - name the overarching programme</li> <li>If a programme - list the key projects within the programme.</li> <li>If a policy - name of overarching programme and/or list of key projects.</li> </ul> | Email: dnewton"eppingforestdc.  | gov.uk   |  |  |  |
| 10. Estimated delivery cost and whole-life operational cost of the project, programme or policy initiative (if known at this stage).   | Delivery cost £55,000<br>Whole life operational cost £TB0                               |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Overall RPA-2 assessment<br>(Derived from Section D. * Delete as appropriate)  |   | High   |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>13. RPA-2 Completed by</li><li>14. RPA-2 Approved by (Head of Transformation)</li></ul>  | Name: Gareth Nicholas<br>Name: David Bailey   | Date:26/05/2016<br>Date: 26.05.2016                    |  |  |  |

15. Background and information on the project, programme or policy to support the overall Complexity Assessment

|   | Consequential in<br>r this project, programme  |    |   | sess | smei | nt |  |
|---|--|----|---|------|------|----|--|
| Strategic Area                                  |  | VL | L | М    | Н    | VH |  |
| B1<br>Political                                 | There will be no political impact.   |    | x |      |      |    | A major policy initiative or manifesto<br>commitment will be affected. Audit and<br>the Audit Committee have a strong<br>interest in this change initiative.   |
| B2<br>Public                                    | Public services will not<br>be impacted. It will not<br>stimulate interest from<br>public pressure<br>groups.  |    |   | x    |      |    | Public services will be affected and there will be significant interest from the media and key stakeholder interests.  |
| B3<br>Financial                                 | There will be little or<br>no exposure of public<br>funds or additional<br>financial burden.   |    |   |      | x    |    | There will be very significant financial exposure of public funds, or an additional financial burden.  |
| B4<br>Security                                  | There will be no<br>security or public data<br>handling implications.  |    |   | x    |      |    | Significant security or public data handling issues or requirements will have to be addressed.   |
| B5<br>Business /<br>Operational /<br>Commercial | There will be limited<br>impact on the<br>organisation's<br>administration,<br>operations or staff and<br>no changes to<br>regulatory<br>requirements.   |    |   |      | x    |    | High profile business problem will remain<br>unaddressed. There will be significant<br>impact on the organisation or commercial<br>markets placing additional regulatory<br>burdens on them. Essential legislative<br>requirements would not be met.   |
| B6<br>Dependencies                              | There will be no<br>impact on the<br>successful delivery of<br>any other project,<br>programme or policy.  |    |   |      | x    |    | It will have a significant impact on other<br>projects, programmes or policy, some of<br>which are totally dependent on this<br>project, programme or policy for their<br>successful delivery. (Ensure you list<br>these, as appropriate, at A9).  |
| -   |  | VL | L | M    | Н    | VH |  |
| B7<br>Summary<br>assessment                     | Failure to deliver this<br>project, programme or<br>policy will have little or<br>no impact on the<br>delivery of public<br>services or<br>infrastructure. It will not<br>undermine the ambition<br>for the District. It will<br>not impede the delivery<br>of other projects,<br>programmes or<br>policies. Failure to<br>deliver will not leave<br>public finances over- |    |   |      | x    |    | Failure to deliver this project, programme<br>or policy will have a significant impact on<br>the delivery of public services or<br>infrastructure. It will undermine confidence<br>in the District's ability to deliver on key<br>commitments. It will have a high and<br>detrimental impact on the District. It will<br>have consequential impacts on the delivery<br>of other key projects, programmes or<br>policy initiatives and will leave public<br>finances exposed or over-committed. |

## [Note: Record summary assessment mark at B.7 at Section D below]

|   | - Delivery completer<br>ramme or policy is deliveri   |    |   |   |   |    |   |
|---|---|----|---|---|---|----|---|
| The project, prog                                 |   |    |   | M |   | VH |   |
| C1.1<br>Policy /<br>legislation                   | Policy and legal<br>implications are fully<br>understood. There are<br>accepted precedents<br>for any changes that<br>need to be made.  |    |   | X |   |    | Policy and legal implications are complex<br>or involve cross-border jurisdictions.<br>Legislative or cross-organisational policy<br>is unclear or subject to change and legal<br>challenge to its implementation is likely.  |
| C1.2<br>Business                                  | The business<br>environment is stable,<br>no significant changes<br>are envisaged.<br>Objectives are easily<br>defined and measured.  |    |   | x |   |    | There is a complex and changing<br>business environment that is dependent<br>on broader change initiatives. There are<br>extensive changes to business<br>operations.   |
| C1.3<br>Innovation                                | The technologies and<br>techniques are proven<br>for the environment<br>and require little if any<br>adaptation.  |    |   |   | x |    | Leading edge, novel or innovative<br>technology or techniques will be<br>introduced involving a high degree of<br>design, build or implementation<br>complexity.  |
| C1.4<br>Commercial                                | Established contracts<br>will be used. There will<br>be a single supplier or<br>short supply chain.   |    |   |   | X |    | There will be complex or innovative<br>commercial arrangements. The supplier<br>market is limited and/or very specialist.<br>There will be multiple suppliers or<br>complex / volatile supply chain.  |
| C1.5<br>Governance                                | A simple and stable<br>governance structure<br>and appropriately<br>scaled project<br>management will<br>support delivery. The<br>key post holders are<br>easily identified and<br>will remain in post. |    |   | x |   |    | A complex or multi-faceted governance or<br>management structure will be required.<br>Delivery partners may deploy their own<br>methodologies. The governance and<br>management structures will need to<br>change during the life of the project<br>programme or policy and key post-holders<br>are likely to change. |
| C1.6<br>Dependencies                              | There are few<br>dependencies on other<br>projects, programmes<br>or policies.  |    |   |   | x |    | There are complex dependency<br>relationships with other projects,<br>programmes, policies or organisations.<br>The planning consent process will be<br>complex and may, for example, require<br>careful management of architectural,<br>historical or environmental issues.  |
| C1.8<br>Delivery<br>approach and<br>co-ordination | There is a co-located<br>project, programme or<br>policy and supplier<br>team operating. The<br>transition from the<br>existing to future state<br>will be simple.                                      |    | x |   |   |    | There is a complex local or national<br>delivery environment involving the co-<br>ordination of multiple sites and addressing<br>differing cultural approaches. There will<br>be complex testing and transition issues.   |
| C1.9<br>Summary<br>assessment                     | The challenges to<br>successful delivery<br>are very low and the<br>change is unlikely to<br>threaten objectives.   | VL | L | M | Х | VH | There will be a very high degree of<br>challenge and changes are highly<br>likely to threaten achievement of<br>objectives. The delivery environment is<br>very complex and there are multiple<br>dependencies.   |

[Note: Record summary assessment mark at C1.9 at Section C4 below]

| Section C2 – Capacity and capability complexity assessment                             |  |    |   |   |   |    |   |  |  |  |
|--|--|----|---|---|---|----|---|--|--|--|
| The project, prog  | ramme or policy is deliveri  |    |   |   |   |    | Γ   |  |  |  |
| C2.1<br>Project /<br>programme<br>team   | The delivery team is<br>fully resourced with the<br>appropriate skills and<br>experience.  | VL | X | M | H | VH | Resources are not readily available and<br>there will be disruption to key posts within<br>the delivery team. Team members have<br>no previous experience of the type of<br>change or technology they are to<br>implement. Delivery will require access to<br>people with well developed skills and<br>experience in a range of technical and<br>professional disciplines.        |  |  |  |
| C2.2<br>Stakeholders /<br>organisation   | The stakeholder<br>community is small<br>and well resourced,<br>informed and<br>knowledgeable.<br>Stakeholders support<br>the delivery of the<br>intended outcomes,<br>their requirements and<br>relative priorities<br>documented, agreed,<br>and unlikely to change. |    |   |   | x |    | There is a complex stakeholder<br>community that lacks the maturity,<br>resources and skills to engage effectively<br>with the project or programme. The<br>stakeholder environment is continuously<br>evolving and the changes delivered by<br>this project or programme are low on their<br>agenda. There are significantly differing<br>priorities between stakeholder groups. |  |  |  |
| C2.3<br>Suppliers<br>(internal /<br>external)  | There are experienced<br>suppliers operating in<br>a stable market.<br>Supplier resources are<br>skilled and available,<br>with ongoing support<br>and commitment.   |    |   | x |   |    | There is a weak or overstretched market,<br>suppliers will have difficulty sustaining an<br>acceptable level of support for this project<br>or programme and may either withdraw<br>from the process or offer limited solutions<br>to the requirements.   |  |  |  |
| C2.4<br>Delivery<br>organisation's<br>strategic<br>leadership /<br>business<br>culture | There is strong<br>leadership driving this<br>change. Those in<br>leadership positions<br>are experienced and<br>knowledgeable. There<br>are no unforeseen<br>organisational<br>pressures that would<br>put successful delivery<br>at risk.                            |    | x |   |   |    | The delivery organisation's strategic<br>leadership is / will be subject to change<br>and have little or no previous experience<br>or responsibility for a implementing<br>change of a similar magnitude or<br>complexity. The project, programme or<br>policy will have to deliver in a challenging<br>cultural, staff or workload environment.                                  |  |  |  |
|  |  | VL | L | М | н | VH |   |  |  |  |
| C2.5<br>Summary<br>assessment  | The organisational<br>and stakeholder<br>capacity and<br>capability to deliver<br>this change is in<br>place and unlikely to<br>change in a way that<br>would threaten the<br>project, programme<br>or policy outcomes<br>and objectives.                              |    |   | x |   |    | There are significant capacity or<br>capability issues to be addressed.<br>Changes across the organisational and<br>stakeholder environment are highly<br>likely to threaten achievement of<br>objectives.  |  |  |  |

# [Note: Record summary assessment mark at C2.5 at Section C4 below]

|                               | – Scale complexi  |    |        |             |             |            |  |
|-------------------------------|---|----|--------|-------------|-------------|------------|--|
| The project, proj             | gramme or policy is deliveri  |    | an env | Ironme<br>M | ent wn<br>Н | ere:<br>vн |  |
| C3.1<br>Time                  | The delivery timescale<br>is not challenging and<br>is not expected to<br>change. There are no<br>external drivers that<br>impact it. Business<br>continuity and<br>contingency plans<br>have been<br>successfully tested.  | VL |        | X           | п           | VI         | There are immovable and/or imposed<br>deadlines. Major changes to timescales<br>are likely to occur and there are limited or<br>no contingency options available.  |
| C3.2<br>Budget                | Funding is secured. It<br>is within the delivery<br>organisation's budget<br>and delegated limits.<br>The budget is not<br>expected to change<br>and an appropriate<br>and established<br>financial management<br>system is in place.<br>In comparison to the<br>delivery organisation's<br>overall portfolio the<br>costs of this particular<br>project, programme or<br>policy are relatively<br>small. |    |        |             | x           |            | Funding is outside the delivery<br>organisation's spend delegations and will<br>involve complex cross-organisational<br>arrangements. Financial estimates are<br>likely to be subject to significant pressures<br>from ongoing or expected change.<br>External economic conditions will have an<br>affect on funding options or availability.<br>An innovative financing model may be<br>needed to secure project, programme or<br>policy funds. The costs of this particular<br>project, programme or policy are<br>significant, relative to the organisation's<br>overall project or programme spend. An<br>appropriate financial management system<br>is not in place, or the existing system has<br>not been recently audited. |
| C3.3<br>Benefits<br>C3.4      | The benefits to be<br>delivered are relatively<br>small, easy to define<br>and measure.Ownership of the<br>benefits is clear.Quality requirements<br>are clear, easily  |    | x      | x           |             |            | The magnitude of benefits is significant<br>and there will be a complex and changing<br>environment in which they have to be<br>realised. The benefits will be delivered<br>over time, they will be difficulty to identify,<br>communicate and measure.<br>Quality requirements are extremely<br>challenging, likely to change significantly   |
| Quality                       | achievable and stable.  |    |        |             |             |            | or will be hard to achieve.  |
|                               |   | VL | L      | м           | н           | VH         |  |
| C3.5<br>Summary<br>assessment | The project,<br>programme or policy<br>environment is<br>stable; any changes<br>are likely to be<br>relatively small,<br>easily managed and<br>should not affect the<br>delivery outcomes.  |    |        | x           |             |            | It is highly likely the project,<br>programme or policy will have to deal<br>with significant and large scale change<br>during its lifecycle. The management<br>of change will need to be very<br>sophisticated and is likely to draw<br>resource and leadership capacity to<br>maximise the likelihood of a<br>successful outcome.  |

#### [Note: Record summary assessment mark at C3.5 at Section C4 below

| Section C4 – Complexity assessment summary<br>Insert the marks allocated for each of the four summary assessments from Sections C1-C3 above. |    |   |   |   |    |  |  |  |  |
|--|----|---|---|---|----|--|--|--|--|
| Complexity areas summary assessments   | VL | L | м | н | νн |  |  |  |  |
| Delivery challenge (C1.9)  |    |   |   | x |    |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity and capability (C2.5)   |    |   | x |   |    |  |  |  |  |
| Scale (C3.5)   |    |   | x |   |    |  |  |  |  |
| C4.1 - Overall complexity assessment   |    |   | x |   |    |  |  |  |  |

[Note: Overall summary assessment mark at C4.1 above to be used in Section D]

# **Section D - Risk Potential Assessment**

Plot overall summary assessments from B7 and C4.1 and mark with a X in grid below to give an overall assessment – the area your X falls within is indicated as Low, Medium or High.

| (From Section B7)                                |                     | Very High         | Tran       | sformation                  | project   |           | HIGH        |  |  |  |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|--|--|--|
| Overall<br>consequential<br>impact<br>assessment |                     | High√             |            | √<br>                       |           |           |             |  |  |  |
|  |                     | Medium            |            | ect manager<br>est practice |           |           |             |  |  |  |
|  | Low                 |                   | LOW        |                             | MED       | IUM       |             |  |  |  |
|  |                     | Very Low          |            | nimal need<br>ect manage    |           |           |             |  |  |  |
|  |                     |                   | Very Low   | Low                         | Medium✔   | High      | Very High   |  |  |  |
|  | (From Section C4.1) |                   |            |                             |           |           |             |  |  |  |
| Overall complexity assessment                    |                     |                   |            |                             |           |           |             |  |  |  |
| Transfer the overall Rist the page 1 of the RPA- |                     | Potential Assessm | ent above( | LOW / <u>MI</u>             | EDIUM / I | HIGH)to I | box A.11 on |  |  |  |

# Section E- About this form and what to do next

# 1. Who should complete the RPA-2 assessment?

Projects, programmes and policies assessed as potentially Medium or High Risk in their Complexity or Strategic Importance through Stage 1 (RPA-1 form). The project manager should complete the RPA-2 as early in the life of the project, programme or policy as possible, i.e. at project charter / project mandate.

# 2. What is the RPA-2 for?

The form helps assess whether a project, programme or policy must be formally supported by the authority's Transformation Programme. The assessment is an indicator of risk potential; it is not a risk analysis model but can be an input to one. The assessment may enable discussion about the risks to and responsibilities for delivery of the project, programme or policy. It may also help identify areas where specific skills, commensurate with the level of complexity, may be required.

# 3. How to complete the RPA-2 Form

**Section A** - captures basic information about the project, programme or policy. Most boxes are self-explanatory. At question 2 please use one of the following descriptors for the project, programme or policy type:

- Customer experience;
- Business culture;
- Resources, accommodation and technology; and
- Major projects.

**Section B & Section C are completed in the same way.** Section B comprises 6 Strategic Areas (B1-B6). Section C comprises 3 sub-sections (C1-C3). In each strategic area / sub-section there are 5 columns covering assessments from Very Low (VL) to Very High (VH). Alongside each strategic area / sub-section are statements that correspond with the extremes of VL and VH. Using your knowledge and judgement consider each strategic area / sub-section and mark an **X** in the column that best indicates the level of Impact (strategic area) or Complexity (sub-sections) associated with that particular topic.

At the end of each Section (or sub-sections in Section C) is a summary assessment. You should use this summary to record the overall assessment for that particular section (or sub-section). There is no 'formula' for calculating this summary – you should take a holistic view when determining the overall assessment.

**Section C4** - 'Complexity Assessment Summary' takes the summary outputs from Section C1 to C3 and allows you to determine an overall summary for Section C. Again, there is no 'formula' for calculating this summary – you should take a holistic view of the summary results from Section C1-C3 in arriving at an overall assessment. Record it at C4.1. At C4.2 provide a narrative that explains the background to the project, programme or policy, the main outcome or objectives, the intended benefits, a high-level time-line and summaries significant impacts facing successful delivery.

**Section D** - records the summary results from Section B and C and gives an overall RPA for your project, programme or policy. Plot the overall results from Sections B and C on the respective axis in the table in Section D by marking an **X** in the appropriate cell where the respective assessments intersect. This now gives an overall indication of the associated RPA for the project, programme or policy. Your **X** will lie in an area of the table that is either High (red shaded), Medium (amber shaded) or Low (green shaded). This overall RPA should be recorded at Box A.11 on page 1 of the RPA-2.

You must now agree your overall assessment with a member of the Programme Management Office for the project, programme or policy initiative. Both you and the Head of Transformation must record your agreement in boxes A.13 and A.14 on the front page of the RPA-2.

# 4. What do I do with the completed form?

**If Box A-11 is Medium or High Risk** – send the completed form to the Programme Management Office. The Programme Management Office will arrange an Assessment Meeting for the project, programme or policy.

The completed form will be reviewed as part of the Assessment Meeting. The outcome of the meeting may mean that a project, programme or policy assessed as Medium Risk in the RPA-2 is re-categorised as High Risk (or vice-versa). The authority's policy is that all High Risk projects, programmes and policies must be supported by the authority's Transformation Programme.

Where, as the result of the Assessment Meeting your project, programme or policy remains (or is re-assessed as) Medium Risk you should consider what other forms of internal assurance process should be used to help you track and ensure the successful delivery of its outcomes or objectives.

**If Box A-11 is Low Risk** - you should ensure that your project, programme or policy conducts regular selfassessments to ensure it is on track to successfully deliver its outcomes or objectives.

#### 5. Who will use the information provided in this RPA-2?

**In accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998 -** It is intended that the data collected in this form will be used by the authority for its own purposes and also to inform other areas of authority business. The data may also be used to make you aware of services, advice and guidance. Please direct any issues related to the use of data within this form to the Programme Management Office.

#### 6. Is the information contained in the RPA-2 releasable under the Freedom of Information Act?

The project manager is responsible for considering any Freedom of Information request in relation to the RPA-2. Please advise the Programme Management Office of any such request.

#### 7. Who can I contact about completion of this form?

If you wish to discuss this form please contact the Programme Management Office.

Stage 2 Risk Potential Assessment v1.1 01.03.16